1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION
3	ESTATE OF JORDAN BAKER, § No. 4:15-cv-3495 by and through
4	administrator, §  JANET BAKER §
5	§ Plaintiff §
6	§
7	VS. § Hon. Judge Sim Lake §
8	JUVENTINO CASTRO, THE § CITY OF HOUSTON, RPI § MANAGEMENT COMPANY, §
9	LLC, and RPI INTERESTS § I, LTD
10	§ Defendants § JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
11	Detendanes 3 Ooki ikini biriidab
12	
13	ORAL DEPOSITION OF
14	TERRY BRATTON  JANUARY 18, 2018
15	
16	ORAL DEPOSITION OF TERRY BRATTON, produced as a
17	witness at the instance of the Plaintiff and duly sworn,
18	was taken in the above styled and numbered cause on
19	Thursday, January 18, 2018, from 10:20 a.m. to 6:59 p.m.,
20	before Joanna Sagastisado, CSR in and for the State of
21	Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine, at the
22	City of Houston Legal Department City Hall Annex,
23	900 Bagby, 4th Floor, Houston, Texas 77002, pursuant to
24	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions
25	stated on the record herein.

Q. Vallery? 1 2. Α. No. 3 Q. This is listed as an unknown subject in the 4 I can pull the officer's -- I think it's the 5 next one on your list, it's the name of the officer. Α. 6 No, I wasn't there. 7 Okay. The Rollerson? Q. 8 Α. No. Brazile? Ο. 10 Α. No. 11 Q. Ramos? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And then there's another unknown subject, the 14 name of the officer, I think it's --15 Α. I wasn't at that one. No. 16 Okay. So you were at Ramos and Salazar-Limon 17 and Lara. Is that right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Now, is it your testimony that because of your 20 presence at the scene -- and were you there for the 21 walk-through on all three of those? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And is it your testimony that your presence at the scene during the walk-through during all three of 24 25 those, in the aftermath of those instances, that you can testify based upon your personal knowledge that the officers actually feared for their life or the safety of others?

A. Yes.

2.

- Q. So different than the Guidry, you're not just saying, "well, you --" you're taking it at the word of the report and the that the -- the officer's statement that they were in fear should be deemed credited because you didn't see anything that contradicted. You're saying for Salazar-Limon, Lara, and for Ramos that you can actually testify that the officers were afraid and that you believed them?
  - A. That's my opinion, yes.
- Q. So it is your opinion that officer -- the officer involved in the Salazar-Limon shooting, quote, "had an immediate fear of serious bodily injury or death," correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. But with respect to Officer Green and the Guidry case, it's -- you're crediting the officer's statement that he had an immediate fear of bodily injury or death. Is that right?
  - A. Base upon the totality of the situation, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you're saying there's nothing to contradict that based upon the totality?

1

2.

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what does that mean?

What if they're involved in criminal activity Ο. that they're trying to cover up? Α. A possibility. Possible, so that's not something that you think is very likely? I couldn't get in their head, so I wouldn't know. Okay. So tell me about the times when you can get in the officer's head and the times that you can't. MS. SANDMANN: Objection. I don't know that I can get into anybody's head per se, I can only -- based on the scenes that I'm at, see their demeanor and the flavor of the scenario and then the scenes that I'm not at, read their particular statement as it comes out through the investigation. (BY MR. OWENS) Okay. So are you saying that when you're at the scene, when you've had the opportunity to judge and evaluate the credibility of the officer you can then determine for yourself, yeah, this person was in actual immediate fear. Is that right? When I see the officer is crying, shaking, that Α. kind of stuff, yeah, I can. Okay. And what if it's -- an officer is not crying or shaking or doing any of that kind of stuff,

- A. I don't know that it means anything other than the fact that you can just -- you've got a flavor for the scene after you do this for a while, you can just tell. Different guys have different reactions. I'll agree with that, but...
- Q. So what I want to know is whether or not you know for sure that officer involved in the Bernard shooting actually feared for his immediate safety?
  - A. Not with 100 percent certainty.
  - Q. But you believe he did?
- A. I do.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. So you've issued us a rebuttal report in this case with respect to Mr. Scott's expert report, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So what I want to understand, and this is somewhat related to what we were just discussing about, is you used a phrase in your report a number of times about "the mind of the reader," what do you mean by that?
  - A. The perception I had as I read it.
- Q. Okay. Now, you're not purporting in your reports to be in the head of Mr. Scott, right?
- A. No.
- Q. Do you know Mr. Scott?
- 25 A. No.